Audit Summary

	Error				Ambiguous			
	number	% case	% LI	% obs	number	% case	% LI	% obs
Judgment Title	0	0	-	-	0	0	-	-
Date	2	0.7%	-	-	0	0	-	-
Plaintiff	0	0	-	-	0	0	-	-
Type of Plaintiff	1	0.3%	-	-	0	0	-	-
Defendant	0	0	-	-	0	0	-	-
Type of Defendant	3	1.2%	-	-	0	0	-	-
AG (last name)	0	0	-	-	0	0	-	-
Chamber Number	0	0	-	-	0	0	-	-
Size of Chamber	0	0	-	-	0	0	-	-
Treaty Basis	1	0.3%	-	-	0	0	-	-
Referral Date	0	0	-	-	0	0	-	-
Referring Court	1	0.8%	-	-	0	0	-	-
Referring Nation	2	1.6%	-	-	0	0	-	-
Legal Issue	9	-	2.3%	-	3	-	.07%	-
AG-Plaintiff Agreement	2	-	0.5%	-	1	-	.03%	-
ECJ-Plaintiff Agreement	4	-	1.03%	-	1	-	.03%	-
ECJ-AG Agreement	4	-	1.03%	-	2	-	.5%	-
Observation Source	0	-	-	0	0	-	-	0
Type of Observation	0	-	-	0	0	-	-	0
Observation Position vis-à-vis Plaintiff	8	-	-	1.9%	7	-	-	1.7%
Observation Position vis-à-vis ECJ	6	-	-	1.5%	5	-	-	1.2%

Audit Sample:

- 257 cases
- 388 legal issues
- 124 preliminary references w/ referrals
- 413 observations on 120 cases, 223 legal issues

This summary reports an audit for the main variables in the ECJ Access databases (see the Audit file for the raw data upon which this summary is based). The "Error" columns count clear mistakes as identified by the auditor. The "Ambiguous" columns count judgment calls that the auditor could neither identify as clearly right or wrong. Number indicates the total counted errors or ambiguous judgments for that variable in the sample, % case indicates the percent of cases in which that error arose, %LI indicates the percent of legal issues for which that error arose, and % obs indicates the percent of observations for which that error arose. All errors were cleaned after the audit was complete. If the errors were part of an evident pattern, the auditor also cleaned all instances of that error in the databases.